STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS

Exploring Public Opinion Along the Proposed Kinder-Morgan Pipeline Route

For the Living Oceans Society

Fielded August 1 - 21, 2012 Weighted Sample = 600 BC adult residents in Federal Electoral Districts along KM pipeline route

CONFIDENTIAL DO NOT CIRCULATE WITHOUT PERMISSION

 Toronto:
 3 Macdonell Avenue
 Suite 200
 Toronto ON
 M6R 2A3
 PH 416.537.6100
 FX 416.588.3490

 Vancouver:
 1770
 W. 7th Ave. Suite. 305
 Vancouver BC
 V6J 4Y6
 PH 604.681.3030
 FX 604.681.2025

		Col Response %
What, in	Economy/financial crisis	14.9%
your	Pipelines/Tankers/Enbridge/Northern Gateway	14.1%
opinion, is the most	Healthcare/medical	12.2%
important	Environment/Climate change	9.2%
issu e faci ng	Unemployment/jobs/work	8.3%
British	Education	5.4%
Columbia	Poverty/Income inequality/Wages	4.8%
today?	Affordable housing	4.5%
	Government/Leadership	6.1%
	Govt. spending/budget deficit/debt	4.0%
	Taxes/HST	3.5%
	Cost of living	3.3%
	Social services/Childcare	2.0%
	Crime and safety	1.9%
	Honesty/transparency/Accountability	1.5%
	Natural resources	1.5%
	Teachers' strike/labour dispute	1.3%
	Transportation/public transit	1.2%
	Marijuana/Drugs/Addiction	1.1%
	Homelessness	1.0%
	Politics/politicians	1.0%
	Other responses under 1%	7.3%
	Don't Know/No Answer	7.5%
Total	·	117.7%

		Col %
As you may know, there has been a lot of discussion	Very familiar	26.6%
recently about the proposed expansion of oil pipelines and oil tanker traffic on B.C.'s	Somewhat familiar	60.5%
coast. How familiar would you say you are with this topic?	Not familiar	12.9%
Total	1	100.0%

		Col %
And how concerned are you	Veryconcerned	55.6%
about the expansion of oil pipelines and oil tanker traffic on B.C.'s coast?	Somewhat concerned	32.3%
	Not concerned	10.2%
	Don't Know	1.9%
Total		100.0%



		Col Response %
What about these	Oil spills/leaks	37.4%
proposals are you	Damage to environment, people	33.5%
most concerned about (513 responses)?	Enbridge track record, past spills, careless, untrustwworthy	10.0%
responses):	Economic concerns, more risk than reward, too little economic benefit	7.3%
	Tankers	6.8%
	Damage to water/coast/oceans/marine life	6.0%
	Lack of safeguards or emergency response/preparedness	4.9%
	Overall approach to energy/fossil fuels/trade	3.7%
	Route of the pipeline proposal and tanker traffic	3.4%
	Politics of the issue	2.2%
	Impact on First Nations/local communities	2.1%
	Difficulty and cost of cleaning spills	1.3%
	Loss of jobs, impacts on fishing/tourism industries	.7%
	Damage to land	.5%
	Damage to wildlife	.4%
	Other responses	7.3%
	Don't Know/No	2.8%
Total		130.4%

	_	Col %
The Kinder Morgan Company currently	A great deal	
operates the Trans-Mountain pipeline,		18.7%
which transports bitumen, a form of	Some	
heavy crude oil, from Edmonton to		29.2%
Burnaby. Kinder Morgan has made a		
proposal to twin this pipeline in order to	Alittle	20.8%
increase the amount of bitumen the		20.8%
pipeline can carry. This will also require	Nothing	
increased tanker traffic on the B.C. coast		27.4%
to carry bitumen to other markets. How		
much have you read, seen or heard	Don't Know	3.9%
about this proposal?		5.576
Total	-!	100.0%



		Col
		Response %
What have you	Twinning pipeline/Doubling capacity	21.5%
heard about this proposal? (396	More tankers	13.8%
responses)	Concern over oil spills/Dangerous/Unsafe	9.2%
	Just heard/read about it	8.2%
	Very little/not much	8.0%
	A lot of opposition to it	6.5%
	What was stated in the survey/everything	5.9%
	KM want to build it/it was proposed	5.8%
	More oil/increased capacity	5.6%
	Bad for the environment	5.3%
	Heard about previous spills, bad track record	5.2%
	It will go through residential areas	4.9%
	KM want to expand (pipeline)	2.7%
	Oil is for export	2.2%
	Tankers will go through Burrard inlet	2.2%
	Controversial (people for, people against it)	2.1%
	Arguments against it	2.1%
	Still talking/negotiating	2.7%
	It is safe, safeguards	1.9%
	Will create jobs/Good for economy	2.2%
	Proposed route/plan	1.8%
	Arguments for it	1.8%
	First Nations are against it	1.6%
	About the money/revenue/royalties	2.3%
	Bad/negative things	1.2%
	It's going ahead/done deal	1.2%
	It will carry Bitumen	1.1%
	Hard to clean (if theres is an accident)	1.1%
	Kinder Morgan's good track record	1.0%
	It will go through Burnaby	1.0%
	Other responses under 1%	8.4%
	Don't Know/No Answer	2.6%
Total	1	142.7%

		Col %
Would you say that you support	Strongly support	5.7%
or oppose the expansion of the	Somewhat support	16.4%
Kinder Morgan Trans-Mountain pipeline and tanker traffic as	Neither support nor oppose	7.2%
outlined in this proposal?	Somewhat oppose	17.6%
	Stronglyoppose	34.3%
	Don't Know/Uncertain	17.5%
	Prefer not to say/No Answer	1.4%
Total		100.0%



Don't Know/Uncertain/Prefer not to say REMOVED

		Col %
Would you say that you support	Strongly support	7.0%
or oppose the expansion of the Kinder Morgan Trans-Mountain pipeline and tanker traffic as outlined in this proposal?	Somewhat support	20.3%
	Neither support nor oppose	8.8%
	Somewhat oppose	21.7%
	Stronglyoppose	42.1%
Total		100.0%

		Col Response %
Why do you	Good for the economy/development/progress	40.1%
support the	We need jobs	19.2%
proposal? (128	The pipeline footprint/infrastructure already exists	15.6%
responses)	Need/demand for more oil infrastructure/transportation	8.2%
	BC needs the oil/gas	7.7%
	Regulation is enough protection for the environment	5.3%
	Trade/export revenue	3.0%
	Tax revenue	2.9%
	Good for BC	2.9%
	We have nothing to lose	2.9%
	They (Kinder Morgan) have a better track record/safeguards	2.7%
	If we don't do it, someone else will (USA/other prov)	2.6%
	It is safe/Can be safe	1.9%
	It's wise/a good idea	1.6%
	It's safer/better than other ways of transporting oil	1.4%
	No problems with current pipeline	1.1%
	KM experienced, know what they are doing	1.0%
	Other responses under 1%	7.9%
	Don't Know/No Answer	.4%
Total		128.4%



		Col
		Response %
Why do you	Environmental risks	31.5%
oppose the	Oil spills	19.6%
proposal? (299	Too many tankers	11.6%
responses)	Bad track record (KM)/Already had leak in past	9.8%
. ,	Money should be spent on renewable/clean energy	7.7%
	I just don't want/like it	5.4%
	More harm than good	4.0%
	Kinder Morgan is misleading/untrustworthy	3.5%
	Not enough information has been provided	3.2%
	Too close to residential/densely populated areas	3.0%
	Should export processed oil products, not raw oil/bitumen	2.9%
	Threat to waterways/coastline	2.9%
	Safety	2.7%
	Bitumen is more dangerous/harder to clean	2.7%
	I oppose fossil fuels	2.4%
	They (KM) only care about money	2.1%
	Pipeline leaks	1.9%
	Not enough safeguards/garantees are being proposed	1.6%
	Bad for BC/Local economy	1.6%
	Tanker spills	1.4%
	There is no need for it	1.4%
	Not enough money for BC	1.3%
	They (KM) do not care about the environment	1.1%
	Threat to wildlife	1.0%
	Other responses under 1%	7.0%
	Don't Know/No Answer	.9%
Total		134.1%

		Col %
The company Enbridge has applied to build a new pipeline called the	A great deal	30.1%
Northern Gateway pipeline that will run from the Alberta oil sands to Kitimat, BC in order to transport	Some	27.9%
bitumen to Asian markets on tankers which will travel off the coast of B.C.	A little	24.2%
What have you read, seen or heard about this application?	Nothing	17.8%
Total		100.0%

		Col %
Would you say that you	Strongly support	5.9%
support or oppose the	Somewhat support	12.8%
Northern Gateway pipeline and tanker traffic as outlined in the Enbridge application?	Neither support nor oppose	7.7%
	Somewhat oppose	13.5%
	Strongly oppose	47.2%
	Don't know/Uncertain	12.4%
	Prefer not to say/No answer	.7%
Total		100.0%



		Col %
Would you say that you support or oppose the Northern Gateway pipeline and tanker traffic as outlined in the Enbridge application?	Strongly support	6.7%
	Somewhat support	14.8%
	Neither support nor oppose	8.8%
	Somewhat oppose	15.7%
	Stronglyoppose	54.0%
Total		100.0%

Don't Know/Uncertain/Prefer not to say REMOVED

Methods

This survey was fielded by phone using Stratcom's in-house unionized call centres from August 1 to 21, 2012. The sample frame includes only the Federal Electoral Districts along the path of the Kinder-Morgan pipeline route (list in Appendix), yielding 768 completed surveys, which includes oversamples in Vancouver and Burnaby. The weighted sample size is 600. These data were statistically weighted to ensure that the sample's gender, age and regional composition reflects that of the actual British Columbia population in the selected Federal Electoral Districts according to the 2006 Census. The data was analyzed using SPSS 12.0 and is considered accurate to +/- 3.5 %, 19 times out of 20, had the entire sample area been polled.

STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS INC. (Stratcom) is a full service opinion research and communications firm with offices in Toronto and Vancouver. We have been providing strategic, political and public affairs polling and focus groups since 1991. Stratcom has been the official pollster to 24 Hours newspaper in Vancouver, and its research has been recognized in the Wall Street Journal, Maclean's Magazine, the Globe and Mail, and other national publications.



APPENDIX

List of Federal Electoral Districts in Survey Sample

Kamloops-Thompson-Cariboo

- Okanagan-Coquihalla
- Chilliwack-Fraser Canyon
- Abbotsford
- Langley
- Fleetwood-Port Kells
- Surrey North
- New Westminster-Coquitlam
- **Burnaby-Douglas**
- Port Moody-Westwood-Port Coquitlam
- Pitt Meadows-Maple Ridge-Mission
- **Burnaby-New Westminster**
- South Surrey-White Rock-Cloverdale
- Newton-North Delta
- Vancouver Centre
- Vancouver East
- Vancouver Kingsway
- Vancouver Quadra
- Vancouver South

